Lib

```
BEFORE THE
1
                      POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
                            STATE OF WASHINGTON
2
   IN THE MATTER OF
3
  CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE, ST. PAUL
  AND PACIFIC PAILROAD COMPANY,
4
                                              PCHB No. 77-88
                        Appellant,
5
                                              FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,
                 v.
6
                                              CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
                                              AND ORDER
  PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION
7
  CONTROL AUTHORITY,
8
                       Respondent.
9
```

This matter, the appeal of a \$250.00 civil penalty for the alleged violation of Section 9.03(b) of respondent's Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board, W. A. Gissberg, Chairman (presiding), Dave J. Mooney, and Chris Smith at a formal hearing on October 31, 1977 in Seattle, Washington.

Appellant was represented by its attorney, J. Fred Simpson; respondent was represented by its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin.

Having heard the testimony, having examined the exhibits, and having considered the contentions of the parties, the Pollution Control Hearings

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Board makes these

 $25^{\circ}$ 

## FINDINGS OF FACT

Respondent, pursuant to RCW 43.21B.260, has filed with this Board a certified copy of its Regulation I containing respondent's regulations and arendments thereto which are noticed.

ΙI

Appellant, Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific Railroad Company (hereinafter "appellant"), is a Wisconsin corporation doing business in Washington state.

On May 10, 1977 at 11:10 a.m., appellant's empty refrigerator car No. 9867 arrived in Tacoma where emissions from the engine stack were seen by respondent's inspector. The inspector took photographs and thereafter recorded black-colored emissions equivalent to No. 2 as designated on the Ringelmann Chart for eight consecutive minutes. The car's engine adjusted automatically causing the emissions to cease. After ascertaining that appellant did not report an upset or breakdown condition to respondent, the inspector issued a Notice of Violation to appellant's agent later that afternoon. For the foregoing event, appellant was assessed a civil peralty of \$250.00, which penalty was appealed to this Board.

III

Section 9.03(b) of respondent's Regulation I makes it unlawful to cause or allow the emission of an air contaminant for more than three minutes in any one hour which emission is darker in shade than that designated as No. 1 on the Ringelmann Chart as published by the United States Bureau of Mines. Section 3.29 provides for a penalty of up to

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

\$250.00 per day for each violation of Regulation I.

IV

Appellant admits that smoke emitted from its refrigerator car which exceeded No. 1 on the Ringelmann Chart. Appellant contends, however, that the emissions from the refrigerator car were caused by an unforeseeable breakdown not detectable during engine idling but only when the refrigerator compressor was on, and that therefore, the violation should be excused pursuant to Section 9.16 of Regulation I. After unsuccessful repair attempts in Tacoma, the cause of the emission was determined to be dirty fuel injectors by appellant's major repair station in Othello.

V

Any Conclusion of Law which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

3

7

8

10

11

12

3

1. Section 9.16 of Regulation I provides in part:

Emissions exceeding any of the limits established by this Regulation as a direct result of start-ups, periodic shutdown, or unavoidable and unforeseeable failure or breakdown, or unavoidable and unforeseeable upset or breakdown of process equipment or control apparatus, shall not be deemed in violation provided the following requirements are met:

(1) The owner or operator of such process or equipment shall immediately notify the Agency of such occurrence, together with the pertinent facts relating thereto regarding nature of problem as well as time, date, duration and anticipated influence on emissions from the source.

23

24

25

As to what constitutes immediate notification to the agency, see Chemithor Corp. v. Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency, PCHB No. 280, and Chevron Shipping Co. v. Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency, PCHB No. 550.

, FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 27 AND ORDER

From these Findings the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAV

Ι

The Board has jurisdiction over the persons and subject matter of this proceeding.

ΙI

Appellant violated Section 9.03(b) of respondent's Regulation I on May 10, 1977 for which a civil penalty of \$250.00 was properly assessed and which should be affirmed. With regard to the applicability of Section 9.16, appellant did not show that the cause of the emission was attributable to an unavoidable, unforeseeable breakdown or condition. Even if it can be assumed that appellant can be excused because of dirty fuel injectors, it did not report the event to respondent as required by Section 9.16. And contrary to the contention of appellant, such notification requirement is not met by a contact initiated by respondent's inspector as occurred herein. See Chemithon Corp. v. Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency, PCHB No. 280.

III

Appellant's assertion that Section 9.03 of Regulation I can only apply when a person has knowingly caused or allowed an unauthorized emission is not well taken. We have held contrary to such contention in many cases. E.g., Kaiser Aluminum, et al. v. PSAPCA, PCHB No. 1017; Crow Roofing and Sheet Metal, Inc. v. PSAPCA, PCHB No. 1098.

 $^{27}$ 

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

1	IV
2	Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law
3	is hereby adopted as such.
4	From these Conclusions the Pollution Control Hearings Board
5	enters this
6	ORDER
7	The \$250.00 civil penalty is affirmed.
8	DONE thisday of December, 1977.
9	POLLUTION CONTROL MEARINGS BOARD
10	Ul Girles
11	W. A. GISSEERG, Chairman
12	Alama A.M. mana
3	DAVE J. MOONEY, Member
14	Olivi muth
15	CHRIS SMITH, Nember
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
27	FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 5